

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10

11 ANTOLIN ANDREW MARKS,

No. C 10-02666 CRB

12 Plaintiff,

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE

13 v.

14 C. ALEXANDER, ET AL.,

15 Defendants.
16 _____/

17 Plaintiff Antolin Andrew Marks filed a Complaint against several individual
18 defendants and the City of Milton, Washington, alleging civil rights violations arising out of
19 the City police department's alleged racial discrimination and profiling. See Dkt. 1.
20 Plaintiff's allegations arise out of a traffic stop initiated by the Milton Police Department at a
21 gas station, which resulted in the seizure of his vehicle. Id. Plaintiff moved for leave to
22 proceed *in forma pauperis*, dkt. 2, which this Court granted, dkt. 8. An initial case
23 management conference was set for October 1, 2010, with a case management statement due
24 September 24, 2010. Dkt. 9. Summons were issued by the Clerk of this Court as to the
25 named Defendants on September 21, 2010. Dkt. 13. No further action in this case is
26 reflected on the docket.


27 This Court ORDERS the Plaintiff to file within thirty (30) days a statement of no
28 more than five pages addressing whether he wishes to proceed with this action. The Court
notes that a failure of defendants to respond to a Summons may be grounds for a plaintiff to

1 move the Clerk to enter default in the case. Fed. R. Civ. P. 55. A failure to respond to this
2 order may result in dismissal of Plaintiff's case for failure to prosecute pursuant to Federal
3 Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b).

4
5 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

6
7
8 Dated: June 18, 2012

9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28



CHARLES R. BREYER
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

United States District Court
For the Northern District of California